

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,345	05/25/2000	Terry L. Gilton	6047-55230	7950
7590 04/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Klarquist Sparkman Campbell			FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
Leigh & Whins One World Tra		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
121 SW Salmon Street			2823	
Portland, OR 97204			DATE MAILED: 04/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	6				
		09/5	79,345	GILTON ET AL.	1800				
		Exan	niner	Art Unit					
			ge Fourson	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status			•						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 January</u>	<u>2005</u> .						
· ·	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
-	4) Claim(s) <u>6-9,11-16,18,19,26-29,32-37 and 47-50</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) 6-9,11-15 and 37 is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) 16-19,26-29,32-36,47 and 48 is/are rejected.								
·	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19 and 47-50</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
<u>ا</u>	Claim(s) are subject to resur	Stion and/or elect	on requirement.						
Applicat	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
·	•	o by the Examine	Note the diag.						
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachment(s) 4) Notice of References Cited (RTO 2002)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Space No(s)(Mail Date 6) Other								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)									

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 47 and 48 depend on claim 1 which has been cancelled.

Claims 16-19 and 47-50 are objected to because of the following informalities: Dew point is not a property of a liquid but is instead a property of a mixture of the liquid and another gas and is dependent on concentration. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 47 and 48 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. If the claims are rewritten to depend on claim 6, claim 6 requires cooling and condensation and is therefor seen to inherently require use of a temperature controller with the temperature being below the dewpoint of the liquid/gas mixture.

Claims 16-19,26,27-29 and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergman et al '125 in view of Hu et al and Nelson et al.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the office action mailed 10/20/04 and as follows.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited temperature range according to the teachings of Bergman et al in view of the teachings of Bergman that ozone saturation occurs as 20 ppm and decreases to zero at 60°C, the teachings of Nelson related to temperature dependence of ozone concentration which is used to achieve a desired effectiveness and a preference for 20 ppm ozone and the teachings of Hu et al related to room temperature stripping of photoresist using water containing ozone. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the temperature, including a cooling step, during the process to achieve desired effectiveness for that portion of the process.

Applicant argues that Bergman et al '125 requires a heating step. However, in view of the teachings pointed to above one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success when employing the recited temperature range, including heating and cooling to achieve that range. Bergman teaches a trade-off between the ozone concentration in the liquid and the effectiveness of the liquid with respect to employing different temperatures (col.2, lines 16-28).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory Art Unit: 2823

action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson April 19, 2005